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## INTRODUCTION

This signal warrant analysis was conducted in order to determine the need of and justification for the installation of a traffic signal at the intersection of Howland Boulevard and Fernanda Drive/Goldenhills Street in the City of Deltona, Volusia County. This analysis was performed to determine if a signal would become warranted due to the construction of Phase 3 of the Fernanda Place development, which is accessed via Fernanda Drive. Figure 1 depicts the intersection location and area roadway network. Fernanda Place is a single family residential development consisting of 251 dwelling units located on the north side of Fernanda Drive, approximately 0.4 miles east of Howland Boulevard. Phase 3 of the development consists of 101 single family dwelling units located on the south side of Fernanda Drive.
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Intersection Location
Figure 1

## EXISTING TRAFFIC CONDITIONS

Howland Boulevard is a four-lane divided roadway with a posted speed limit of 45 mph . Based on FDOT counts made in 2022, it carries a daily traffic volume of approximately 26,500 vehicles per day adjacent to the intersection.

## Intersection Configuration

The existing lane configuration at the intersection of Howland Boulevard and Fernanda Drive/Goldenhills Street is illustrated in Figure 2. In addition to the two through lanes in each direction, Howland Boulevard has a two-way-left-turn lane in the northbound and southbound directions, and a right-turn lane in the northbound direction. Fernanda Drive has an exclusive right turn lane as well as a shared through/left lane. Goldenhills Street has a single-lane approach.

## Hourly Traffic Counts

Hourly traffic counts were obtained at each intersection approach by TPD personnel. These counts were made on February $20^{\text {th }}$, 2024, by 15 -minute intervals and are included in Appendix A. The counts, summarized by the hour, are shown in Table 1 along with the hourly variation of the existing traffic for each approach. Additionally, turning movement counts were collected for the westbound approach of the intersection for the hours of 6 A.M. to 7 P.M. in 15-minute intervals. The turning movement counts are summarized in Table 2. These existing traffic volumes will be used in the signal warrant analysis along with trips to be generated by Phase 3 of the development.


Table 1
Existing Hourly Traffic Volumes

| Time | Approach |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Northbound (Howland Blvd |  | Southbound (Howland Blvd) |  | Eastbound (Goldenhills St) |  | Westbound (Fernanda Dr) |  |
|  | Volume | \% per Hour | Volume | \% per Hour | Volume | \% per Hour | Volume | \% per Hour |
| 12-1 A.M. | 45 | 0.49\% | 22 | 0.26\% | 0 | 0.00\% | 2 | 0.24\% |
| 1-2 A.M. | 33 | 0.36\% | 16 | 0.19\% | 1 | 0.16\% | 1 | 0.12\% |
| 2-3 A.M. | 25 | 0.27\% | 24 | 0.28\% | 1 | 0.16\% | 5 | 0.60\% |
| 3-4 A.M. | 20 | 0.22\% | 43 | 0.50\% | 5 | 0.80\% | 7 | 0.83\% |
| 4-5 A.M. | 26 | 0.28\% | 117 | 1.37\% | 1 | 0.16\% | 20 | 2.38\% |
| 5-6 A.M. | 86 | 0.94\% | 331 | 3.87\% | 20 | 3.22\% | 39 | 4.64\% |
| 6-7 A.M. | 150 | 1.64\% | 678 | 7.94\% | 38 | 6.11\% | 56 | 6.67\% |
| 7-8 A.M. | 423 | 4.62\% | 1,081 | 12.66\% | 88 | 14.15\% | 124 | 14.76\% |
| 8-9 A.M. | 546 | 5.96\% | 759 | 8.89\% | 68 | 10.93\% | 71 | 8.45\% |
| 9-10 A.M. | 347 | 3.79\% | 471 | 5.51\% | 53 | 8.52\% | 38 | 4.52\% |
| 10-11 A.M. | 370 | 4.04\% | 411 | 4.81\% | 19 | 3.05\% | 43 | 5.12\% |
| 11-12 P.M. | 412 | 4.50\% | 415 | 4.86\% | 17 | 2.73\% | 30 | 3.57\% |
| 12-1 P.M. | 464 | 5.07\% | 425 | 4.98\% | 27 | 4.34\% | 39 | 4.64\% |
| 1-2 P.M. | 475 | 5.19\% | 434 | 5.08\% | 30 | 4.82\% | 28 | 3.33\% |
| 2-3 P.M. | 584 | 6.38\% | 557 | 6.52\% | 27 | 4.34\% | 47 | 5.60\% |
| 3-4 P.M. | 883 | 9.65\% | 562 | 6.58\% | 42 | 6.75\% | 48 | 5.71\% |
| 4-5 P.M. | 981 | 10.72\% | 515 | 6.03\% | 92 | 14.79\% | 55 | 6.55\% |
| 5-6 P.M. | 1,127 | 12.31\% | 509 | 5.96\% | 30 | 4.82\% | 61 | 7.26\% |
| 6-7 P.M. | 911 | 9.95\% | 409 | 4.79\% | 31 | 4.98\% | 46 | 5.48\% |
| 7-8 P.M. | 470 | 5.13\% | 240 | 2.81\% | 13 | 2.09\% | 39 | 4.64\% |
| 8-9 P.M. | 283 | 3.09\% | 236 | 2.76\% | 7 | 1.13\% | 17 | 2.02\% |
| 9-10 P.M. | 220 | 2.40\% | 152 | 1.78\% | 6 | 0.96\% | 16 | 1.90\% |
| 10-11 P.M. | 186 | 2.03\% | 92 | 1.08\% | 5 | 0.80\% | 3 | 0.36\% |
| 11-12 P.M. | 88 | 0.96\% | 43 | 0.50\% | 1 | 0.16\% | 5 | 0.60\% |
| Total: | 9,155 | 100\% | 8,542 | 100\% | 622 | 100\% | 840 | 100\% |
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Table 2
Turning Movement Count Summary

| Time | Westbound Approach (Fernanda Dr) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left + Thru Lane Volume | Right Lane Volume |
| 6-7 A.M. | 43 | 13 |
| 7-8 A.M. | 66 | 58 |
| 8-9 A.M. | 53 | 17 |
| 9-10 A.M. | 28 | 11 |
| 10-11 A.M. | 24 | 19 |
| 11-12 P.M. | 18 | 12 |
| 12-1 P.M. | 24 | 16 |
| 1-2 P.M. | 19 | 9 |
| 2-3 P.M. | 26 | 21 |
| 3-4 P.M. | 27 | 22 |
| 4-5 P.M. | 28 | 25 |
| 5-6 P.M. | 32 | 32 |
| 6-7 P.M. | 23 | 23 |
| Total: | 411 | 278 |
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## DEVELOPMENT GENERATED TRAFFIC

Phase 3 of the Fernanda Place development will consist of 101 single-family dwelling units. In order to determine the impact of this development to the existing traffic volumes at the study intersection, trip generation and distribution analysis was conducted.

## Trip Generation

In a traffic study conducted in February 2024, trip generation calculations were conducted in order to determine the impact of the traffic generated by the Phase 3 of the development. The trip generation calculations are summarized below in Table 3, which shows that Phase 3 of the development will generate 1,018 new daily trips, of which 509 will enter the site and 509 will exit the site. These trips will be combined with the existing traffic for use in the analysis. The detailed ITE worksheets are included in Appendix B.

Table 3
Trip Generation Summary

| ITE Code | Land Use | Size | Daily |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Enter | Exit | Total |  |
| 210 | Single-Family Detached <br> Housing | 101 DU* $^{*}$ | 10.08 | 509 | 509 | 1,018 |

* DU = Dwelling Unit
** $\mathrm{R}^{2}>0.75$, therefore Equations used


## Trip Distribution

The February 2024 traffic study included an estimation of the distribution of the project trips using the CFRPM model. The trip distribution of the project, as shown in Figure 3, shows that $45 \%$ of the project traffic will enter/exit the site from Howland Boulevard to the north of Fernanda Drive, and $55 \%$ of the project traffic will enter/exit the site from Howland Boulevard to the south of Fernanda Drive. This distribution will be used to assign the project trips to the study intersection for use in the analysis. The detailed model output plots are included in Appendix B.


## Hourly Variation of Project Trips

In order to determine the hourly variation of the project trips entering the study intersection, analysis was conducted using both the hourly variation of existing traffic and hourly variation rates obtained from ITE. Fernanda Drive is the only access to the Fernanda Place development; therefore, all 509 exiting project trips will enter the study intersection from Fernanda Drive (the westbound approach). Additionally, it should be noted that Fernanda Drive provides access only to the Fernanda Place development and nothing else, so the existing traffic counts in the westbound direction consist entirely of exiting-trips generated by the completed Fernanda Place dwelling units. The hourly variation of these existing exiting-trips was used to determine the hourly variation of the project trips entering the study intersection from Fernanda Drive. Additionally, the trip distribution obtained from the traffic study was used to distribute the project trips to the left and right lanes of the westbound approach.

Similarly, the project trips entering the study intersection from Howland Boulevard are those trips that are entering the project site. These trips were distributed to the northbound and southbound approaches of the intersection according to the trip distribution determined in the traffic study. It was determined that 280 project trips will enter the study intersection from the northbound approach and 229 project trips will enter the study intersection from the southbound approach per day. While the project trips on Fernanda Drive are those that are exiting the project site, the project trips on Howland Boulevard are those that are entering the project site; therefore, the hourly variation of the existing counts is not applicable to the project trips on Howland Boulevard. Instead, hourly variation rates were obtained from ITE in order to determine the hourly variation of the project trips entering the study intersection from Howland Boulevard. The hourly variation rates obtained from ITE are included in Appendix B.

Summarized in Table 4 are the hourly variations of the project trips entering the study intersection at each intersection approach. It should be noted that the traffic study did not assign any project trips to Goldenhills Street, therefore no project trips are assigned to the eastbound approach for use in the Signal Warrant Analysis. These project trips will be combined with the existing traffic counts in order to determine the total traffic volumes for use in the analysis. The total traffic volumes per hour are summarized in Table 5, along with the total volume of both approaches for the Major Street and the critical volume for the Minor Street, which are the volumes to be used in the analysis.

Table 4
Hourly Variation of Project Trips

| Time | Northbound (Howland Blvd) |  | Southbound (Howland Blvd) |  | Westbound (Fernanda Dr) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \%* | Volume | \%* | Volume | \%** | Left + Thru Lane | Right Lane |
| 12-1 A.M. | 0.5\% | 1 | 0.5\% | 1 | 0.24\% | 1 | 0 |
| 1-2 A.M. | 0.2\% | 1 | 0.2\% | 0 | 0.12\% | 1 | 0 |
| 2-3 A.M. | 0.3\% | 1 | 0.3\% | 1 | 0.60\% | 2 | 1 |
| 3-4 A.M. | 0.2\% | 1 | 0.2\% | 0 | 0.83\% | 2 | 2 |
| 4-5 A.M. | 0.3\% | 1 | 0.3\% | 1 | 2.38\% | 7 | 5 |
| 5-6 A.M. | 0.5\% | 1 | 0.5\% | 1 | 4.64\% | 13 | 11 |
| 6-7 A.M. | 1.6\% | 4 | 1.6\% | 4 | 6.67\% | 19 | 15 |
| 7-8 A.M. | 3.1\% | 9 | 3.1\% | 7 | 14.76\% | 41 | 34 |
| 8-9 A.M. | 3.8\% | 11 | 3.8\% | 9 | 8.45\% | 24 | 19 |
| 9-10 A.M. | 3.3\% | 9 | 3.3\% | 8 | 4.52\% | 13 | 10 |
| 10-11 A.M. | 4.2\% | 12 | 4.2\% | 10 | 5.12\% | 14 | 12 |
| 11-12 P.M. | 5.4\% | 15 | 5.4\% | 12 | 3.57\% | 10 | 8 |
| 12-1 P.M. | 5.7\% | 16 | 5.7\% | 13 | 4.64\% | 13 | 11 |
| 1-2 P.M. | 6.1\% | 17 | 6.1\% | 14 | 3.33\% | 9 | 8 |
| 2-3 P.M. | 7.1\% | 20 | 7.1\% | 16 | 5.60\% | 14 | 14 |
| 3-4 P.M. | 8.7\% | 24 | 8.7\% | 20 | 5.71\% | 16 | 13 |
| 4-5 P.M. | 10.5\% | 29 | 10.5\% | 24 | 6.55\% | 18 | 15 |
| 5-6 P.M. | 10.0\% | 28 | 10.0\% | 23 | 7.26\% | 20 | 17 |
| 6-7 P.M. | 8.5\% | 24 | 8.5\% | 19 | 5.48\% | 15 | 13 |
| 7-8 P.M. | 6.1\% | 17 | 6.1\% | 14 | 4.64\% | 13 | 11 |
| 8-9 P.M. | 6.1\% | 17 | 6.1\% | 14 | 2.02\% | 6 | 4 |
| 9-10 P.M. | 4.4\% | 12 | 4.4\% | 10 | 1.90\% | 6 | 4 |
| 10-11 P.M. | 2.1\% | 6 | 2.1\% | 5 | 0.36\% | 1 | 1 |
| 11-12 P.M. | 1.3\% | 4 | 1.3\% | 3 | 0.60\% | 2 | 1 |
| Total: | 100.0\% | 280 | 100.0\% | 229 | 100.0\% | 280 | 229 |

* ITE Hourly Variation rates
** Obtained from Existing Counts
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Table 5
Total Traffic Volumes

| Time | Major Street - Howland Boulevard |  |  |  |  |  |  | Minor Street - Goldenhills Street/Fernanda Drive |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Norhtbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  | Total Both Approaches | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Eastbound } \\ \hline \text { Existing } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Westbound |  |  | Critical Volume* |
|  | Existing | Project | Total | Existing | Project | Total |  |  | Existing | Project | Total |  |
| 12-1 A.M. | 45 | 1 | 46 | 22 | 1 | 23 | 69 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| 1-2 A.M. | 33 | 1 | 34 | 16 | 0 | 16 | 50 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| 2-3 A.M. | 25 | 1 | 26 | 24 | 1 | 25 | 51 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 8 |
| 3-4 A.M. | 20 | 1 | 21 | 43 | 0 | 43 | 64 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 11 | 11 |
| 4-5 A.M. | 26 | 1 | 27 | 117 | 1 | 118 | 145 | 1 | 20 | 12 | 32 | 32 |
| 5-6 A.M. | 86 | 1 | 87 | 331 | 1 | 332 | 419 | 20 | 39 | 24 | 63 | 63 |
| 6-7 A.M. | 150 | 4 | 154 | 678 | 4 | 682 | 836 | 38 | 56 | 34 | 90 | 90 |
| 7-8 A.M. | 423 | 9 | 432 | 1,081 | 7 | 1,088 | 1,520 | 88 | 124 | 75 | 199 | 199 |
| 8-9 A.M. | 546 | 11 | 557 | 759 | 9 | 768 | 1,325 | 68 | 71 | 43 | 114 | 114 |
| 9-10 A.M. | 347 | 9 | 356 | 471 | 8 | 479 | 835 | 53 | 38 | 23 | 61 | 61 |
| 10-11 A.M. | 370 | 12 | 382 | 411 | 10 | 421 | 803 | 19 | 43 | 26 | 69 | 69 |
| 11-12 P.M. | 412 | 15 | 427 | 415 | 12 | 427 | 854 | 17 | 30 | 18 | 48 | 48 |
| 12-1 P.M. | 464 | 16 | 480 | 425 | 13 | 438 | 918 | 27 | 39 | 24 | 63 | 63 |
| 1-2 P.M. | 475 | 17 | 492 | 434 | 14 | 448 | 940 | 30 | 28 | 17 | 45 | 45 |
| 2-3 P.M. | 584 | 20 | 604 | 557 | 16 | 573 | 1,177 | 27 | 47 | 28 | 75 | 75 |
| 3-4 P.M. | 883 | 24 | 907 | 562 | 20 | 582 | 1,489 | 42 | 48 | 29 | 77 | 77 |
| 4-5 P.M. | 981 | 29 | 1,010 | 515 | 24 | 539 | 1,549 | 92 | 55 | 33 | 88 | 92 |
| 5-6 P.M. | 1,127 | 28 | 1,155 | 509 | 23 | 532 | 1,687 | 30 | 61 | 37 | 98 | 98 |
| 6-7 P.M. | 911 | 24 | 935 | 409 | 19 | 428 | 1,363 | 31 | 46 | 28 | 74 | 74 |
| 7-8 P.M. | 470 | 17 | 487 | 240 | 14 | 254 | 741 | 13 | 39 | 24 | 63 | 63 |
| 8-9 P.M. | 283 | 17 | 300 | 236 | 14 | 250 | 550 | 7 | 17 | 10 | 27 | 27 |
| 9-10 P.M. | 220 | 12 | 232 | 152 | 10 | 162 | 394 | 6 | 16 | 10 | 26 | 26 |
| 10-11 P.M. | 186 | 6 | 192 | 92 | 5 | 97 | 289 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 5 |
| 11-12 P.M. | 88 | 4 | 92 | 43 | 3 | 46 | 138 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 8 |

* Eastbound or Westbound approach volume, whichever is greater
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## SIGNAL WARRANT ANALYSIS

The signal warrant analysis was conducted in accordance with the procedures of the Manual on Uniform Control Devices (MUTCD) for streets and highways. According to the MUTCD, traffic signals should not be considered for installation unless one or more of the nine warrants specified therein are met and an engineering study justifies the need.

## Applicable Warrants

The warrants applicable to this analysis are Warrant 1 - Eight Hour Vehicular Volume (Conditions A and B) and Warrant 2 - Four Hour Volume.

For Warrant 1, the Minimum Vehicular Volume (Condition A) is intended for application at locations where a large volume of intersecting traffic is the principal reason to consider installing a traffic control signal. The Interruption Continuous Traffic (Condition B) is intended for application at locations where Condition $A$ is not satisfied and where the traffic volume on a major street is so heavy that traffic on a minor intersecting street suffers excessive delays or conflict in entering/crossing the major street. The MUTCD specifies that the minimum volume warrants are satisfied when for each of any eight hours of an average day the volumes are greater than the threshold values given in Table 6. Since the posted speed limit on Howland Boulevard is greater than 40 mph , the $70 \%$ threshold values given in the table will be used in the analysis.

For Warrant 2, the Four-Hour Vehicular volume signal warrant, conditions are intended to be applied where the volume of intersecting traffic is the principal reason to consider installing a traffic control signal. This warrant is satisfied when for each of any four hours of an average day, the plotted points representing the vehicles per hour on the major street (total of both approaches) and the corresponding vehicles per hour on the higher volume minor street approach (one direction only) all fall above the applicable curve in Figure 4 of the MUTCD for the existing combination of lanes.

The six warrants determined not to be applicable for the intersection under study are:

| Warrant 3 | - | Peak Hour (not applicable) |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 4 | - | Pedestrian Volume (no pedestrian traffic) |
| 5 | - | School Crossing (there is no school crossing) |
| 6 | - | Coordinated Signal System (not an objective) |
| 7 | - | Crash Experience (data not available) |
| 8 | - | Roadway Network (not applicable) |
| 9 | - | Intersection Near a Grade Crossing |

[^0]Table 6
Warrant 1 - Eight-Hour Vehicular Volume

| Condition A-Minimum Vehicular Volume |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Lanes for Moving Traffic on Each Approach |  | Vehicles Per Hour on Major Street (Total of both Approaches) * |  |  |  | Vehicles Per Hour on Higher Volume Minor Street (One Direction Only) |  |  |  |
| Major Street | Minor Street | 100\% | 80\% | 70\% | 56\% | 100\% | 80\% | 70\% | 56\% |
| 1 Lane | 1 Lane | 500 | 400 | 350 | 280 | 150 | 120 | 105 | 84 |
| $2+$ Lanes | 1 Lane | 600 | 480 | 420 | 336 | 150 | 120 | 105 | 84 |
| $2+$ Lanes | $2+$ Lanes | 600 | 480 | 420 | 336 | 200 | 160 | 140 | 112 |
| 1 Lane | $2+$ Lanes | 500 | 400 | 350 | 280 | 200 | 160 | 140 | 112 |
| Condition B-Interruption of Continuous Traffic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Lanes for Moving Traffic on Each Approach |  | Vehicles Per Hour on Major Street (Total of both Approaches) * |  |  |  | Vehicles Per Hour on Higher Volume Minor Street (One Direction Only) |  |  |  |
| Major Street | Minor Street | 100\% | 80\% | 70\% | 56\% | 100\% | 80\% | 70\% | 56\% |
| 1 Lane | 1 Lane | 750 | 600 | 525 | 420 | 75 | 60 | 53 | 42 |
| $2+$ Lanes | 1 Lane | 900 | 720 | 630 | 504 | 75 | 60 | 53 | 42 |
| $2+$ Lanes | 2 + Lanes | 900 | 720 | 630 | 504 | 100 | 80 | 70 | 56 |
| 1 Lane | 2 + Lanes | 750 | 600 | 525 | 420 | 100 | 80 | 70 | 56 |

[^1]Source: Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices, 11th Edition, U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration.
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Figure 4
Four-Hour Vehicular Volume Warrant Chart
Figure 4C-1. Warrant 2, Four-Hour Vehicular Volume

*Note: 115 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor-street approach with two or more lanes and 80 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor-street approach with one lane

Figure 4C-2. Warrant 2, Four-Hour Vehicular Volume (70\% Factor) (COMMUNITY LESS THAN 10,000 POPULATION OR ABOVE 40 MPH ON MAJOR STREET)


## Warrant Analysis

As described in the Intersection Configuration section of the report, Howland Boulevard has 2 or more approach lanes in each direction, and Fernanda Drive has both a shared through/left lane and an exclusive right turn lane at the study intersection. According to the MUTCD, right-turning traffic should not be considered for a minor street approach with a shared through/left lane and exclusive right turn lane where the right-turning traffic enters the major street with minimal conflict. Therefore, the Signal Warrant analysis was conducted for two scenarios: Dual Lane Minor Approach, and Single Lane Minor Approach. Summarized below in Table 7 are the hourly traffic volumes from 6:00 A.M. to 7:00 P.M., along with an assessment of the applicable signal warrants for the Dual Lane Minor Approach scenario. As can be seen from the table, the minimum volume requirements of Warrant 1B and Warrant 2 are satisfied for the Dual Lane Minor Approach scenario. Similarly, summarized in Table 8 are the hourly traffic volumes and assessment of the applicable signal warrants for the Single Lane Minor Approach scenario. As can be seen, the minimum volume requirements are not met for either Warrant 1 or Warrant 2 for this scenario. The completed FDOT Traffic Signal Warrant Summary forms are included in Appendix C.

Table 7
Signal Warrant Analysis - Dual Lane Minor Approach

| Hour | Howland Blvd (Total Both Approaches) | Minor Approach |  |  | Warrant |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Goldenhills St - EB | Fernanda Dr - WB | Critical Volume | 1A | 1B | 2 |
| 6-7 A.M. | 836 | 38 | 90 | 90 |  | X |  |
| 7-8 A.M. | 1,520 | 88 | 199 | 199 | X | X | X |
| 8-9 A.M. | 1,325 | 68 | 114 | 114 |  | X | X |
| 9-10 A.M. | 835 | 53 | 61 | 61 |  |  |  |
| 10-11 A.M. | 803 | 19 | 69 | 69 |  |  |  |
| 11-12 P.M. | 854 | 17 | 48 | 48 |  |  |  |
| 12-1 P.M. | 918 | 27 | 63 | 63 |  |  |  |
| 1-2 P.M. | 940 | 30 | 45 | 45 |  |  |  |
| 2-3 P.M. | 1,177 | 27 | 75 | 75 |  | X |  |
| 3-4 P.M. | 1,489 | 42 | 77 | 77 |  | X |  |
| 4-5 P.M. | 1,549 | 92 | 88 | 92 |  | X | X |
| 5-6 P.M. | 1,687 | 30 | 98 | 98 |  | X | X |
| 6-7 P.M. | 1,363 | 31 | 74 | 74 |  | X |  |
| Hours Required: |  |  |  |  | 8 | 8 | 4 |
| Hours Satisfied: |  |  |  |  | 1 | 8 | 4 |
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Table 8
Signal Warrant Analysis - Single Lane Minor Approach

| Hour | Howland Blvd (Total Both Approaches) | Minor Approach |  |  |  |  | Warrant |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Goldenhills St - EB | Fernanda Dr - WB |  |  | Critical Volume | 1A | 1B | 2 |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Existing } \\ & \text { (Left } \\ & \text { only) } \end{aligned}$ | Project (Left only) | Total Left Lane Volume |  |  |  |  |
| 6-7 A.M. | 836 | 38 | 43 | 19 | 62 | 62 |  | X |  |
| 7-8 A.M. | 1,520 | 88 | 66 | 41 | 107 | 107 | X | X | X |
| 8-9 A.M. | 1,325 | 68 | 53 | 24 | 77 | 77 |  | X |  |
| 9-10 A.M. | 835 | 53 | 28 | 13 | 41 | 53 |  |  |  |
| 10-11 A.M. | 803 | 19 | 24 | 14 | 38 | 38 |  |  |  |
| 11-12 P.M. | 854 | 17 | 18 | 10 | 28 | 28 |  |  |  |
| 12-1 P.M. | 918 | 27 | 24 | 13 | 37 | 37 |  |  |  |
| 1-2 P.M. | 940 | 30 | 19 | 9 | 28 | 30 |  |  |  |
| 2-3 P.M. | 1,177 | 27 | 26 | 15 | 41 | 41 |  |  |  |
| 3-4 P.M. | 1,489 | 42 | 27 | 16 | 43 | 43 |  |  |  |
| 4-5 P.M. | 1,549 | 92 | 28 | 18 | 46 | 92 |  | X | X |
| 5-6 P.M. | 1,687 | 30 | 32 | 20 | 52 | 52 |  |  |  |
| 6-7 P.M. | 1,363 | 31 | 23 | 15 | 38 | 38 |  |  |  |
| Hours Required: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 8 | 4 |
| Hours Satisfied: |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 4 | 2 |

## Intersection Capacity Analysis

In order to determine which scenario is applicable to the study intersection, intersection capacity analysis results were obtained from the February 2024 traffic study. The analysis was conducted using the existing intersection geometry and control, along with projected volumes for the A.M. and P.M. peak hours. The projected peak hour volumes used in the analysis are shown in Figure 5, and the results of the analysis are summarized in Table 9. As can be seen from the table, the right turn lane on the westbound approach operates at Level of Service "B" with minimal delay; therefore, the right-turning traffic on the westbound approach should not be considered and the Single Lane Minor Approach scenario is more applicable to the study intersection. It should also be noted that all approaches of the study intersection are projected to operate satisfactorily under the existing stop-control. The detailed intersection capacity analysis worksheets are included in Appendix D.
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A.M. Peak Hour

P.M. Peak Hour

Table 9
Projected Intersection Capacity Analysis

| Intersection | Control | Time Period | EB |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Left/Thru Lane |  | Right Lane |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS | Delay | LOS |
| Howland Blvd \& Fernanda Dr | Stop | A.M. | 17.4 | C | 39.8 | E | 10.3 | B | 0.8 | A | 0.3 | A |
|  |  | P.M. | 13.9 | B | 46.5 | E | 13.0 | B | 0.5 | A | 1.3 | A |

## STUDY CONCLUSIONS

This signal warrant analysis was conducted to determine the need of and justification for the installation of a traffic signal at the intersection of Howland Boulevard and Fernanda Drive/Goldenhills Street in the City of Deltona, Volusia County. This analysis was performed to determine if a signal would become warranted due to the construction of Phase 3 of the Fernanda Place development, which is accessed via Fernanda Drive. The analysis was conducted utilizing existing hourly traffic counts obtained at each approach of the study intersection and hourly project trips based upon data provided by ITE.

The results of the analysis as documented herein revealed that the minimum volumes of the applicable warrants, Warrant 1 and Warrant 2, are satisfied for the Dual Lane Minor Approach scenario. The results of the intersection capacity analysis, however, indicated that the rightturning traffic on the westbound approach of the intersection enters the major street with minor conflict and should not be considered in the analysis. Therefore, the Single Lane Minor Approach scenario is more applicable to the study intersection. The results of the Signal Warrant Analysis revealed that the minimum volume thresholds are not satisfied for Warrant 1 (Condition A or B) or Warrant 2 for the Single Lane Minor Approach scenario. Additionally, the intersection capacity analysis indicated that the study intersection will operate satisfactorily in the A.M. and P.M. peak hours with the existing stop-control at the intersection. Therefore, a traffic signal is not recommended for installation at this location due to Phase 3 of the Fernanda Place development.

APPENDICES

## APPENDIX A

Existing Intersection Approach Volumes

## VOLUME

Howland Blvd \& Goldenhills St \& Fernanda Dr



## National Data \& Surveying Services

## Intersection Turning Movement Count



Prepared by National Data \& Surveying Services

## Howland Blvd \& Goldenhills St/Fernanda Dr







National Data \& Surveying Services

| Site Code: | $\mathbf{2 4 - 1 3 0 0 7 4 - 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Date: | $\mathbf{0 2 / 2 0 / 2 0 2 4}$ |
| Weather: | Sunny |
| City: | Deltona |
| County: | Volusia |
| Count Times: | $\mathbf{0 6 : 0 0 - 1 0 : 0 0}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1 0 : 0 0 - 1 4 : 0 0}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1 4 : 0 0 - 1 9 : 0 0}$ |
| Control: | $\mathbf{2 - W a y ~ S t o p ( E B / W B ) ~}$ |


| N/S Street: Howland Blvd | Speed: 40 MPH |
| :--- | :--- |



## APPENDIX B

ITE Trip Generation Data, Model Output, ITE Hourly Variation Rates

# Single-Family Detached Housing <br> (210) 

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Dwelling Units<br>On a: Weekday

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 174
Avg. Num. of Dwelling Units: 246
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting

## Vehicle Trip Generation per Dwelling Unit

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9.43 | $4.45-22.61$ | 2.13 |

Data Plot and Equation


- Institute of Transportation Engineers


| Hourly Distribution of Entering and Exiting Vehicle Trips by Land Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source: ITE Trip Generation Manual , 11th Edition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Use Code | 210 |  |  | 210 |  |  | 210 |  |  |
| Land Use | Single-Family Detached Housing |  |  | Single-Family Detached Housing |  |  | Single-Family Detached Housing |  |  |
| Setting | General Urban/Suburban |  |  | General Urban/Suburban |  |  | General Urban/Suburban |  |  |
| Time Period | Weekday |  |  | Saturday |  |  | Sunday |  |  |
| \# Data Sites | 7 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
|  | \% of 24-Hour Vehicle Trips |  |  | \% of 24-Hour Vehicle Trips |  |  | \% of 24-Hour Vehicle Trips |  |  |
| Time | Total | Entering | Exiting | Total | Entering | Exiting | Total | Entering | Exiting |
| 12:00-1:00 AM | 0.3\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.8\% | 0.6\% | 1.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.6\% | 0.6\% |
| 1:00-2:00 AM | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.2\% | 0.6\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% |
| 2:00-3:00 AM | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 3:00-4:00 AM | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% |
| 4:00-5:00 AM | 0.6\% | 0.3\% | 0.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.6\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 5:00-6:00 AM | 1.2\% | 0.5\% | 2.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.8\% | 1.2\% | 1.8\% | 1.8\% | 1.8\% |
| 6:00-7:00 AM | 3.7\% | 1.6\% | 5.8\% | 1.0\% | 0.4\% | 1.5\% | 1.5\% | 1.8\% | 1.2\% |
| 7:00-8:00 AM | 6.5\% | 3.1\% | 10.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.8\% | 3.3\% | 1.8\% | 0.6\% | 3.0\% |
| 8:00-9:00 AM | 6.2\% | 3.8\% | 8.5\% | 3.8\% | 2.5\% | 5.2\% | 4.7\% | 0.6\% | 9.0\% |
| 9:00-10:00 AM | 4.6\% | 3.3\% | 5.8\% | 5.5\% | 5.0\% | 6.0\% | 4.7\% | 3.5\% | 6.0\% |
| 10:00-11:00 AM | 4.9\% | 4.2\% | 5.6\% | 8.2\% | 6.2\% | 10.2\% | 11.5\% | 8.8\% | 14.4\% |
| 11:00-12:00 PM | 5.3\% | 5.4\% | 5.1\% | 7.2\% | 8.7\% | 5.8\% | 7.7\% | 8.2\% | 7.2\% |
| 12:00-1:00 PM | 5.7\% | 5.7\% | 5.7\% | 7.7\% | 7.3\% | 8.1\% | 9.2\% | 10.5\% | 7.8\% |
| 1:00-2:00 PM | 6.1\% | 6.1\% | 6.0\% | 8.1\% | 7.1\% | 9.0\% | 9.8\% | 10.5\% | 9.0\% |
| 2:00-3:00 PM | 6.6\% | 7.1\% | 6.1\% | 8.0\% | 8.7\% | 7.3\% | 5.9\% | 5.8\% | 6.0\% |
| 3:00-4:00 PM | 7.5\% | 8.7\% | 6.2\% | 9.2\% | 9.8\% | 8.7\% | 4.4\% | 5.8\% | 3.0\% |
| 4:00-5:00 PM | 8.9\% | 10.5\% | 7.4\% | 6.2\% | 6.9\% | 5.4\% | 8.3\% | 8.2\% | 8.4\% |
| 5:00-6:00 PM | 8.7\% | 10.0\% | 7.3\% | 8.4\% | 9.6\% | 7.1\% | 9.8\% | 11.1\% | 8.4\% |
| 6:00-7:00 PM | 7.2\% | 8.5\% | 5.9\% | 6.0\% | 7.3\% | 4.6\% | 6.2\% | 5.8\% | 6.6\% |
| 7:00-8:00 PM | 5.1\% | 6.1\% | 4.2\% | 5.1\% | 4.8\% | 5.4\% | 5.3\% | 7.0\% | 3.6\% |
| 8:00-9:00 PM | 4.6\% | 6.1\% | 3.1\% | 4.8\% | 6.0\% | 3.7\% | 4.1\% | 5.8\% | 2.4\% |
| 9:00-10:00 PM | 3.3\% | 4.4\% | 2.3\% | 2.4\% | 2.7\% | 2.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% |
| 10:00-11:00 PM | 1.6\% | 2.1\% | 1.0\% | 1.7\% | 1.5\% | 1.9\% | 1.5\% | 1.8\% | 1.2\% |
| 11:00-12:00 AM | 1.0\% | 1.3\% | 0.6\% | 1.4\% | 1.5\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |

## APPENDIX C

FDOT Signal Warrant Summary Worksheets


## Condition B - Interruption of Continuous Traffic

Condition B is intended for application where Condition $A$ is not satisfied and the traffic volume on a major street is so heavy that traffic on the minor intersecting street suffers excessive delay or conflict in entering or crossing the major street.

| Applicable: | $\square$ Yes | $\square$ No |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100\% Satisfied: | $\square$ Yes | $\square$ No |
| 80\% Satisfied: | $\square$ Yes | $\square$ No |
| 70\% Satisfied: | $\square$ Yes | $\square$ No |


| Number of traffic on | for moving approach | Vehicles per hour on majorstreet (total of both approaches) |  |  | Vehicles per hour on minorstreet (one direction only) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major | Minor | 100\% ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 80\% ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 70\% ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 100\% ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 80\% ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 70\% ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 1 | 1 | 750 | 600 | 525 | 75 | 60 | 53 |
| 2 or more | 1 | 900 | 720 | 630 | 75 | 60 | 53 |
| 2 or more | 2 or more | 900 | 720 | 630 | 100 | 80 | 70 |
| 1 | 2 or more | 750 | 600 | 525 | 100 | 80 | 70 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Basic Minimum hourly volume
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Used for combination of Conditions A and B after adequate trial of other remedial measures
${ }^{\text {c }}$ May be used when the major-street speed exceeds 40 mph or in an isolated community with a population of less than 10,000

Record 8 highest hours and the corresponding major-street and minor-street volumes in the Instructions Sheet.

| Eight Highest Hours |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Street | $\underset{\substack{\dot{C}}}{\underset{~}{\dot{C}}}$ |  | $\sum_{\dot{\infty}}^{\dot{C}}$ | $\sum_{0}^{n}$ N N N | $\begin{aligned} & \sum_{0}^{j} \\ & \dot{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sum_{0}^{1} \\ & \substack{0 \\ +} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sum_{0}^{\dot{1}} \\ & \dot{0} \\ & \dot{i} \end{aligned}$ | $\sum_{i}^{\sum}$ |
| Major | 836 | 1,520 | 1,325 | 1,177 | 1,489 | 1,549 | 1,687 | 1,363 |
| Minor | 90 | 199 | 114 | 75 | 77 | 92 | 98 | 74 |

## Existing Volumes




## Condition B - Interruption of Continuous Traffic

Condition B is intended for application where Condition $A$ is not satisfied and the traffic volume on a major street is so heavy that traffic on the minor intersecting street suffers excessive delay or conflict in entering or crossing the major street.

| Applicable: | $\square$ Yes | $\square$ No |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100\% Satisfied: | $\square$ Yes | $\square$ No |
| 80\% Satisfied: | $\square$ Yes | $\square$ No |
| 70\% Satisfied: | $\square$ Yes | $\square$ No |


| Number of traffic on | for moving approach | Vehicles per hour on majorstreet (total of both approaches) |  |  | Vehicles per hour on minorstreet (one direction only) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Major | Minor | 100\% ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 80\% ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 70\% ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 100\% ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 80\% ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 70\% ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 1 | 1 | 750 | 600 | 525 | 75 | 60 | 53 |
| 2 or more | 1 | 900 | 720 | 630 | 75 | 60 | 53 |
| 2 or more | 2 or more | 900 | 720 | 630 | 100 | 80 | 70 |
| 1 | 2 or more | 750 | 600 | 525 | 100 | 80 | 70 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Basic Minimum hourly volume
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Used for combination of Conditions A and B after adequate trial of other remedial measures
${ }^{\text {c }}$ May be used when the major-street speed exceeds 40 mph or in an isolated community with a population of less than 10,000

Record 8 highest hours and the corresponding major-street and minor-street volumes in the Instructions Sheet.

| Eight Highest Hours |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Street | $\underset{\substack{\dot{\infty} \\ \underset{\sim}{\circ} \\ \hline}}{ }$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\sim}{\Sigma} \\ & \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\substack{\dot{N} \\ \underset{N}{N} \\ \hline}}{ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n}^{\dot{n}} \\ & \underset{j}{j} \end{aligned}$ | $$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sum_{0}^{1} \\ & 0 \\ & i \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\sum}{\substack{0}}$ |
| Major | 1,520 | 1,325 | 940 | 1,177 | 1,489 | 1,549 | 1,687 | 1,363 |
| Minor | 107 | 77 | 30 | 41 | 43 | 92 | 52 | 38 |

## Existing Volumes



## APPENDIX D

Intersection Capacity Analysis Worksheets

| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | SS | Intersection | Howland Blvd \& Fernanda Dr |
| Agency/Co. | TPD, Inc. | Jurisdiction | Deltona |
| Date Performed | $3 / 5 / 2024$ | East/West Street | Fernanda Dr/Goldenhills St |
| Analysis Year | 2025 | North/South Street | Howland Blvd |
| Time Analyzed | Projected AM | Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | 5427.2 |  |  |

Lanes


## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Number of Lanes |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | LTR |  |  | LT |  | R |  | L | T | R |  | L | T | TR |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 6 | 0 | 90 |  | 95 | 1 | 86 | 0 | 34 | 448 | 31 | 0 | 37 | 1098 | 12 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 17 | 0 | 2 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \\| Storage | Left Only |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways


## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service



| General Information |  | Site Information |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyst | SS | Intersection | Howland Blvd \& Fernanda Dr |
| Agency/Co. | TPD, Inc. | Jurisdiction | Deltona |
| Date Performed | $3 / 5 / 2024$ | East/West Street | Fernanda Dr/Goldenhills St |
| Analysis Year | 2025 | North/South Street | Howland Blvd |
| Time Analyzed | Projected PM | Peak Hour Factor | 0.96 |
| Intersection Orientation | North-South | Analysis Time Period (hrs) | 0.25 |
| Project Description | 5427.2 |  |  |

Lanes


## Vehicle Volumes and Adjustments

| Approach | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R | U | L | T | R |
| Priority |  | 10 | 11 | 12 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1 U | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 U | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Number of Lanes |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| Configuration |  |  | LTR |  |  | LT |  | R |  | L | T | R |  | L | T | TR |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 7 | 0 | 24 |  | 44 | 3 | 36 | 0 | 66 | 1016 | 91 | 0 | 60 | 473 | 8 |
| Percent Heavy Vehicles (\%) |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Proportion Time Blocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Grade (\%) | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right Turn Channelized |  |  |  |  | No |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median Type \\| Storage | Left Only |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Critical and Follow-up Headways


## Delay, Queue Length, and Level of Service
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[^1]:    * When the 85-percentile speed of Major Street exceeds 40 mph , the $70 \%$ minimum volume thresholds values are used.

